## **Occupational Medicine & Health Affairs**

Short Review

**Open Access** 

Page 2 of 3

phenomenal and it occurs more o en a er the external administration into skin or mucous membranes than a er oral administration [9,13]. Allergic reactions to propolis usually occur as contact dermatitis a er topical administration, although there are some reports of propolis allergy manifested as rhinitis, conjunctivitis, in ammation of the mucous membranes of the mouth and ulcers, bronchospasm with shortness of breath and wheezing, associated with fever, urticaria, headache, nausea [13]. In 2004 the case of laryngeal edema and anaphylactic shock a er topical application of propolis in acute in ammation of nasopharyngeal cavity was also described [14]. To World Health Organization database

of monitoring side-e ects only 29 adverse events a er propolis werellergens which induce eczemas [22]. e prevalence of propolis allergy in beekeepers and farmers are gathered in table 1. reported for the period 1986-2006.

Conclusion

## Allergy to Propolis in Beekeepers

Data from literature indicate the possibility of an allergic reaction Initial reports of allergic reactions to propolis were reported from beekeepers, as well as musicians and artists that modulate gures withile using propolis, but much more frequently reported are cases wax [15,16]. e rst case of allergic contact dermatitis a er propolis of hypersensitivity to propolis and its preparations used externally. was published in 1915 and described a beekeeper who had skin les Repolis given per os is considered as a non-toxic product to humans, on his hands. Since then, propolis is recognized as an occupational hough in the literature some cases of hypersensitivity a er oral contact allergen mostly in beekeepers as they are an occupationad ministration are described.

group, the most exposed to allergens from propolis. Review of the world Allergy to propolis is not a common phenomenon, but there literature indicates that 1/4 people allergic to propolis are beekeepers are groups of greater risk of sensitization like beekeepers and their

A study conducted by Münstedt [17] on the German population of amily members who are the most exposed to contact to propolis and beekeepers indicates that 3.6% of respondents are allergic to properlsallergens. Sensitization in this group ranges from 0.76 to 4.04%. (37 of 1051 beekeepers). More than 72% of them are allergic to other substances (21 of 37 beekeepers who are allergic to propolis). Reactions (0.64%-1.3%), but are not more a ected with propolis to propolis appear a er 5 to 48 hours (mean time 11 hours). Side e ects lasted from 5 hours to 20 days (mean 5 days). e study con rmed that dermatoses (1.2%-6.7%) [22].

propolis may cause not only a type IV hypersensitivity reaction, but alseferences

systemic reactions associated with immediate-type hypersensitivity. % X U G R N e most common skin reactions a er propolis is itching, burning, urticaria, local rash [17,18]. An interesting thesis has been presented in HG]LD +ROGHUQD.HG]LD ( this study that the use of solvents (mainly ethanol) may have in uence :\GDZQLFWZR ÜG !Ž@à€ 'uV0R] 0 0C! 3UURQ on the development of allergy to propolis. e authors suggest that this hypothesis can explain the transport of antigen into the deeper layers of the skin, which can lead to hypersensitivity. is hypothesis should be con rmed in future studies [9,17,18]. Authors point out some factors correlated with the occurrence of propolis allergy. Contact allergy to propolis was signi cantly associated with lung diseases and other allergic reactions. According to this study reactions to bee stings did not correlate with allergy to propolis.

Illg and Sanokowska stated that the percentage of beekeepers allergic to propolis in Malopolska region in e South of Poland is 4.04% [19].

In another study on Polish farmers was shown that allergy to propolis was the reason of allergic contact dermatitis in 1 case (0.76%=1/132 farmers). Peru balsam was the reason of allergic contact dermatitis in 10 cases (7.6%=10/132 farmers) [20].

Spiewak reported that propolis was the reason of occupational dermatoses in Polish farmers in 2 out of 101 farmers (2%) [21].

A study conducted by Basista and Filipek on Polish population of beekeepers indicates that 17 out of 558 (3.05%) beekeepers were allergic to propolis. ere was no report on concomitant allergy to propolis and other bee products. Only 14 of 2205 (0.63%) family members, using propolis as therapeutic agent, reported propolis allergy. Factors, which can have an in uence on the occurrence of allergy, are allergic diseases (for example atopic allergic dermatitis) or other allergies for di erent

5HYLHZ RI WKH ELRORJLFDO SURSHU SURSROLV SURSROLV )RRG &KHP 7R[LFRO

3URGXNW\ SV]F]R

Citation: % D V L V W D 6 R á W \ V K