Journal of Medical Implants & Surgery

Mini Review Open Access

Dental Implants and Nanotechnology

Julie Roze*

Faculty of Dental Surgery, University of Nantes, France

Abstract

Dental implants' early Osseo integration is associated to their long-term clinical success. This study examines the

with blood proteins and platelets right after implantation. The healing of the peri-implant tissue will thereafter depend on

preferred for a biomechanical anchoring of implants to bone. An important factor in these biological interactions is the

nanotechnologies. Using thin calcium phosphate (CaP) coatings is another method to improve Osseo incorporation. On titanium implants, bioactive CaP Nano crystals are deposited, and they promote bone apposition and healing. The type of peri-implant tissues may eventually be directed by future nanometre-controlled surfaces, increasing their clinical success rate.

***Corresponding author:** Julie Roze, Faculty of Dental Surgery, University of Nantes, France, Tel: 338940276345; E-mail: Roze.julie@gmail.com

Received: 02-Nov-2022, Manuscript No: jmis-22-78069,

This study examines the various processes through which biological fluids, cells, tissues, and implant surfaces interact. Dental implants' most recent Nano scale surface alterations and calcium phosphate coating technologies are reviewed. There is a connection between the order of biological processes and surface characteristics. On the surface of implants, mechanisms of contact with blood, platelets, hematopoietic, and Mesenchymal stem cells are outlined. These early occurrences have been demonstrated to influence implant osseointegration as well as cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. The tissue-integrative capabilities and long-term clinical effectiveness of future implant surfaces may be enhanced for the benefit of patients [7].

Material and Methods

Three fundamental scenarios depicting various anchoring scenarios for dental implants were taken into consideration. In case 1, the implant is supported by an apically positioned permanent surface but is not in contact with the cortical or trabecular bone at its vertical walls. Maximum implant deformation under vertical loading in this instance occurs in the coronal section and gradually decreases toward the apex [8]. As a result, there is less micro motion between the implant and the vertical walls of the socket as it approaches its apex. A layer of elastic trabecular bone was added apically to the implant, changing its fixed apical rest. Here, the elastic material that the implant is sitting on is primarily compressed by an axial force pressing on the implant. Since trabecular bone has a far lower elastic modulus than titanium, it is possible to ignore the implant's deformation and consider only the relative movement between the implant and bone [9].

Discussion

Within the constraints of this experiment, it was possible to show how friction phenomena and implant design-threaded versus cylindrical-affect stress distribution and implant displacement. The reduction of implant displacement under a 200 N axial load was achieved by adding threads to a cylindrical implant as well as increasing friction between the implant and bone. Changing the contact type between implant and bone to force fit caused load transfer to occur primarily in the cervical region of the implant, which is surrounded by stronger cortical bone? [10] This resulted in a more uniformly distributed loading condition at the implant bone interface. Contrast this with a scenario in which there is no friction predicted which results in the highest loading of bone around the periapical region of the implant. According to these results, screw-shaped implants are beneficial from a clinical standpoint, but bone quality is likely the most crucial factor in establishing sufficient primary implant stability for rapid loading. When selecting a certain loading process, all of these aspects should be taken into consideration [11].

It may be demonstrated that the healing condition affects the incidence of micro motion phenomena along the implant bone interface based on a comparison of recently implanted and Osseo integrated implants. Regardless of the location taken into consideration, micro motion remained consistent for a soft implant-bone contact, which

is17d on a coaed implT11 implanmar2ain loaapically