

Determining the Optimal Use of Non-Invasive Ventilation and Invasive Mechanical Ventilation in the Treatment of Acute Respiratory Failure

Abdullah Al-Murad*

Abstract

Background: Acute respiratory failure (ARF) is a life-threatening condition requiring mechanical ventilation to support gas exchange. Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) are two modes of mechanical ventilation commonly used in the treatment of ARF. The optimal use of NIV and IMV remains controversial, and understanding the best approach is crucial for optimizing patient outcomes.

Objectives: This review aims to determine the optimal use of NIV and IMV in the treatment of ARF by synthesizing the available evidence and highlighting areas where further research is needed.

Methods: A systematic review of the literature was conducted. Randomized controlled trials and observational studies that investigated the use of NIV and IMV in the treatment of ARF were included. The primary outcomes of interest were mortality, intubation rates, and length of hospital stay.

Results: NIV reduced the need for intubation and decreased mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and cardiogenic pulmonary edema. IMV improved mortality and increased ventilator-free days in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). NIV was found to be the preferred mode of ventilation for

FRQVLGHUWKHULVNVDQGEHQHYVRIHDFKPRGHRIYHQWLQDQWLRQEHIRUHPDNLQJWUHDWPHQWGHFLVLRQV

Keywords: Non-invasive ventilation; Invasive mechanical ventilation; Acute respiratory failure; Mechanical ventilation; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Cardiogenic pulmonary edema; Acute respiratory distress syndrome

Introduction

Acute respiratory failure (ARF) is a life-threatening condition resulting in inadequate gas exchange, which can occur due to a wide range of pulmonary and extra-pulmonary causes. ARF is a common reason for admission to intensive care units (ICUs), and mechanical ventilation is an essential aspect of its management [1]. However, the optimal use of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) in ARF remains a subject of debate. While NIV has been shown to reduce the need for intubation and ICU stay in some patients, its effectiveness in treating severe ARF remains uncertain. However, IMV is the primary treatment for severe respiratory failure, but it is associated with significant risks and complications, such as ventilator-induced lung injury and nosocomial infections. Therefore, determining the optimal use of NIV and IMV in the treatment of ARF is crucial to improve patient outcomes and reduce the burden on healthcare systems [2].

In this review article, we aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of the current evidence for the use of NIV and IMV in ARF and to identify the factors that determine the optimal use of each approach [3]. To achieve this goal, we conducted a systematic search of several electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library, to identify relevant studies published until September 2021. We included studies that evaluated the efficacy and safety of NIV and IMV in different clinical scenarios, including hypoxemic and hypercapnic

respiratory failure. We also analysed the advantages and disadvantages of each ventilation approach, discussed the factors that determine the optimal use of each approach, and highlighted the importance of timely recognition and intervention in the management of ARF.

The findings of this review can guide clinical decision-making and improve patient outcomes by providing evidence-based guidance on the optimal use of NIV and IMV in the treatment of ARF [4]. Furthermore, this review identifies gaps in current knowledge and highlights the need for further research to clarify the optimal use of NIV and IMV in particular patient populations with ARF.

Highlights

1. NIV reduces intubation, length of stay & mortality in COPD & cardiogenic pulmonary edema.
2. IMV improves mortality & ventilator-free days in ARDS.

*Corresponding author: Abdullah Al-Murad, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Student at Azerbaijan Medical University, Baku, Azerbaijan, E-mail: a.albakal@gmail.com

Received: 18-Apr-2023, Manuscript No. JRM-23-92149; **Editor assigned:** 21-Apr-2023, PreQC No. JRM-23-92149(PQ); **Reviewed:** 05-May-2023, QC No. JRM-23-92149; **Revised:** 11-May-2023, Manuscript No. JRM-23-92149(R); **Published:** 18-May-2023, DOI: 10.4172/jrm.1000156

Citation: Al-Murad A (2023) Determining the Optimal Use of Non-Invasive Ventilation and Invasive Mechanical Ventilation in the Treatment of Acute Respiratory Failure. *J Respir Med* 5: 156.

Copyright: © 2023 Al-Murad A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

3. NIV is preferred for hypoxemic respiratory failure, reducing need for intubation & mortality.

4. Optimal use of NIV & IMV in ARF requires careful consideration of patient characteristics.

Methods

Search strategy: We conducted a systematic search of several electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library, to identify relevant studies published up to September 2021. The search strategy included a combination of keywords and medical subject headings (MeSH) related to acute respiratory failure, mechanical ventilation, non-invasive ventilation, and treatment [5]. We also hand-searched the reference lists of relevant studies to identify additional articles.

Inclusion & Exclusion: We included studies that evaluated the efficacy and safety of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) in different clinical scenarios, including hypoxemic and hypercapnic respiratory failure. We included randomized controlled trials, observational studies, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews published in English [6]. We excluded studies that were not

ARF, considering the underlying etiology and severity of the disorder, as well as the availability of monitoring and support resources [22].

The evidence supports the use of NIV as an effective and safe alternative to IMV for selected patients with ARF, particularly those with hypercapnic respiratory failure due to COPD exacerbation and acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema [23]. NIV has been shown to reduce the need for intubation, shorten the duration of mechanical ventilation, and improve outcomes in these groups of patients as shown in (Table 1).

However, in patients with severe ARF, including those with ARDS, IMV may be more effective in rapidly and sustainably improving oxygenation and ventilation. Early initiation of IMV in these patients may lead to better outcomes [24].

It is important to note that several factors can influence the optimal choice of respiratory support strategy, including the patient's clinical status, the underlying etiology and severity of ARF, and the availability of monitoring and support resources as shown in (Table 2).

Clinicians should consider these factors when deciding on a course of treatment and individualize care accordingly [25].

Our review has several limitations. First, the studies included in our review varied in their designs and patient populations, which make's it challenging to draw definitive conclusions. Second, there were differences in the management protocols and resources available across the studies, which may have influenced the outcomes. Third, our review focused on comparing NIV and IMV and did not evaluate other respiratory support strategies, such as high-flow nasal cannula or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [26].

In conclusion, our review suggests that NIV can be an effective and safe alternative to IMV for selected patients with ARF [27]. The decision to use NIV or IMV should be based on a careful assessment of the patient's clinical status, the underlying etiology and severity of ARF, and the availability of monitoring and support resources.

6. Chawla R, Mansuriya J, Modi N (2014) Acute respiratory distress syndrome: Predictors of noninvasive ventilation failure and intensive care unit mortality in clinical practice. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 18:453-459.
7. Chu DK, Kim LH, Young PJ (2018) Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 391:1693-1705.
8. Duan J, Han X, Bai L, Zhou L (2015) Assessment of heart rate, acidosis, consciousness, oxygenation, and respiratory rate to predict noninvasive ventilation failure in hypoxemic patients. *Intensive Care Med* 30:455:7-13.
9. El-Khatib MF, Jamaledine GW, Khayat NE (2015) Noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *CMAJ* 187:175-181.
10. Epstein SK, Ciubotaru RL, Wong JB (1997) Effect of failed extubation on the outcome of mechanical ventilation. *Chest* 112:186-192.
11. Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A (2015) High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med* 372:2185-2196.
12. Girard TD, Kress JP, Fuchs BD (2008) Efficacy and safety of a paired sedation and ventilator weaning protocol for mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care (Awakening and Breathing Controlled trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 371:126-134.
13. Klompas M, Li L, Kleinman K (2010) Associations between ventilator bundle components and outcomes. *JAMA* 303:857-863.
14. Koulouras V, Papatheanakis G, Papatheanasiou A, Nakos G (2010) Efficacy of noninvasive ventilation in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 38:2496-2505.
15. Lemyze M, Mallat J, Nigeon O (2013) Rescue therapy by switching to total face mask after failure of face mask-delivered noninvasive ventilation in do-not-intubate patients in acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med* 41:481-488.
16. Lucangelo U, Bernabè F, Viatro S (2007) Lung mechanics at the bedside: make it simple. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 13:175-187.
17. MacIntyre NR (2012) Evidence-based assessments in the ventilator discontinuation process. *Respir Care* 57:1611-1618.
18. Mehta S, Hill NS (2001) Noninvasive ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 163:540-577.
19. Nava S, Hill N (2009) Non-invasive ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Lancet* 374:250-259.
20. Nishimura M (2015) High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy in adults. *J Intensive Care Med* 30:1-15.
21. Papazian L, Forel J-M, Gacouin A (2010) Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 363:1107-1116.
22. Rochwerg B, Brochard L, Elliott MW (2017)