Journal of Analytical & Bioanalytical **Techniques**

h Article

ctiveness of Guided Imagery Technique on pain among terminally III ents at selected Hospitals of Jaipur

¹Ph.D. cholar

or cum Principal Geetanjali Nursing College, Udaipur ²Profe

> Terminal illness is an incurable disease that cannot be adequately treated. Pain at terminal illness is signifcant such as cancer, late HIV disease, and degenerative diseases. The aim of study to evaluate the efectiveness of guided imagery technique regarding pain, among terminally ill patients. A quantitative experimental research approach with Quasi-experimental, non-equivalent control group design was used with 210 terminally ill patients (105 in experimental groups and 105 in control group) to evaluate the efectiveness of guided imagery technique regarding pain. Consecutive sampling technique was used. A socio-demographic data, pain assessment scale, was developed by the researcher to evaluate pain, among terminally ill patients. Researcher used Guided imagery technique as intervention for study. The guided imagery technique was found efective to reduce pain. In pain experimental group pre-test mean ± S.D was 5.68 ± 2.53, in follow up mean ± S.D was 1.94 ±1.36, mean diference was -3.7, t= 13.34, p=<.01, Signifcant, whereas in 03-Jun-2022, Manuscript No. jabt-22-67074; 05-Junc

PreQC No. jabt-22-67074 (PQ); 19-Jun-2022, QC No. jabt-22-23-Jun-2022, Manuscript No. jabt-22-67074 (R); 30-

22, DOI: 10.4172/2155-9872.1000465

Bashir J. Goswami YP (2022) Efectiveness of Guided Imagery Technique mong getimmums: III Failenes at Basers of Hespital Beits; J Anali Block minal illness. Surveys of adult cancer patients with advanced disease—o en performed in a hospice or palliative care setting indicate atients that the prevalence of pain ranges from 50% to 90%.¹⁶ It is observed

22 Bashir J, et al. This is an open-access article distributed 40450% of those with pain from cancer report it to be severe while tive Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted describe it to be very severe [5].

sult in the death of the ere are many pharmacological and

erminally ill patients

ess of guided imagery technique atients admitted in selected hospitals

the association of Pain, with selected demographic minally ill patients admitted in selected hospitals at

e 20th century annot be cured or pected to result in the terminal patients is a rough d on previous data and does not

ess is signi cant such as cancer, late HIV diseases; most people equate pain at the end of life

A ed

To evaluate the e ectiveness of guided imagery technique regarding pain, among terminally ill patients.

Н

 H_0 1- ere is no statically signi cant relationship between the obtained score of pain, among terminally ill patients admitted at selected hospitals in Jaipur.

 H_0^2 - ere is no statically signi cant relationship between e ect of guided imagery technique and score obtained on level of pain, among terminally ill patients admitted at selected hospitals in Jaipur.

 $\rm H_03$ - ere is no statically signi cant relationship between pre-test score obtained on level of pain, with socio demographic variables among terminally ill patients admitted at selected hospital in Jaipur.

ः स्छि⁄ः २३ः ddd≉d छि⁄ ≈c:

Section-A: Prevalence of terminal illness

Section-B: Pain in terminal illness

Section-C: Guided Imagery Technique on terminally illness

Ma a a d a d

A quantitative experimental research approach with Quasiexperimental, non-equivalent control group design was used which help the researcher to evaluate the e ectiveness of guided imagery technique regarding pain. 216 terminally ill patients were selected with Consecutive sampling technique. e researcher consider three type of variable under study -Dependent Variable, Independent Variable, Attributed variable .the study was carried out at 3 selected hospitals of Jaipur. A socio-demographic data, pain assessment scale, was developed by the researcher to evaluate pain, among terminally ill patients. Socio-Demographic Performa consist of 14 items which include information of respondents about Age, Gender, Religion, Marital status, Habitat, Education quali cation, Occupation, Family type, Monthly family income, Source of major income in family, Area of hospitalization, Duration of taking treatment, Types of disease and Level of dependency. Researcher was use standardized Numerical universal pain assessment scale. e scale was created by Mc Gill University's Dr. Ronald Melzack and Dr. Warren Torgerson [6].

Researcher used Guided imagery technique as intervention for study. Tool and Guided Imagery Technique was validated with 14 di erent subject expert Numerical pain assessment scale is a standard tool and reliability already established and tested by the valedictory authority. Physiopedia a nonpro t organization and worldwide physiotherapist community established reliability of numerical pain assessment scale with test – retest method r=.95. 97.

A formal permission was obtained from the concern authority. e data were collected from Dec. 2020 to July 2021. e researcher collected the data from 210 terminally ill patients. A total of 210 (105 in experimental group and 105 in control group) respondents were selected for the study. Researcher obtained informed written consent from each respondent. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional ethical committee to conduct the study. Direct face to face interview was conducted and con dentiality of study was assured. e data was analyzed in the term of objectives of the study using descriptive and inferential statistic. A master sheet was prepared by the researcher as response is given by respondent. e e ectiveness of Guided Imagery Technique was analyzed by using t-test for experimental and control group. e association between pre-test score of numerical pain assessment, with selected socio demographic variables was analyzed by chi square test for experimental and control group. Experimental and control group data were presented in table, graph and diagram.

R٦

S ***c** 1: Distribution of the socio-demographic variables.

Sec 2: Pre-test assessment of pain among terminally ill patient.

S:c 3: E ectiveness of guided imagery technique on pain.

S*c 4: Association between pre-test score of pain, with selected socio-demographic variables.

SECTION 1: D b e c -de a c a ab e. (Table 1)

Table 1 showed the distribution of respondent according to age. In experimental group 23.8% belonged to 21-30yrs and 51-60 yrs., 23.% belonged to 31-40 yrs. and 41-50yrs and only 8.6% respondent belonged to above 60yrs of age whereas in control group most of respondent 24.8% belonged to age group of 21-30yrs and 51-60 yrs. 21% belonged to 31-40yrs, 18.1% belonged to 41-50 yrs. and only 11.4% respondent belonged to above 60 years age. In experimental group majority of respondent were males 52.4%, females 47.6%, whereas in control group majority of respondent were also males 55.2%, females 44.8% and transgender 0.0% were in both experimental and control group. majority of sample in experimental group were Hindus 40%, Muslim 33.3%, any other 23.8%, Christian 3% whereas in control group majority of respondent were Hindu 51.4%, any other 22.9%, Muslim 21%, Christian 4.8%.

In experimental group majority of respondents were married 89.5%, separated 5.7%, unmarried 3.8% and divorced 1% whereas in control group majority of respondents were married 91.4%, unmarried 4.8%, separated 2.9% and divorced 1%. In experimental group most

there was a signi $\,$ cant relationship between pain score with terminally ill patients at selected hospitals, Jaipur. Hence, null hypothesis $\rm H_01$ was rejected.

SE

area of hospitalization 2=14.41, duration of taking 2=14.02 level of dependency 2=15.09. So, there was signi cant association between control group levels of pain. Hence, the null hypothesis H03 was rejected for above socio-demographic variable.

Dc

e aim of study to evaluate the e ectiveness of guided imagery technique regarding pain, among terminally ill patients. In pre-test in experimental group most of respondents i.e.: 41% had severe pain,40% had moderate pain, 16.2% had mild pain and only 2.9% had no pain

whereas in control group most of respondents i.e.: 38.1% had severe pain, 35.2% had moderate pain, 23.8% had mild pain and only 2.9% had no pain. It showed there was a signi cant relationship between pain score with terminally ill patients at selected hospitals, Jaipur, hence null hypothesis H01 was rejected. A similar study was conducted on "Prevalence of Pain in terminally Ill Cancer Patients: A Prospective Nonrandomized Observational Study" at Shri Mahant Indiresh Hospital, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. 126 patients incorporated

26.98%, neuropathic pain 13.49%. $\$ e average duration of pain was