

Research Article OMICS Internationa

&WBMVBUJPO PG UIF &GGJD#FWØDBZNDGPSBND1 1IZUPSFNFEJBUJPO "HFOU JO 8BTUFXBUFS 5S

Irfana Showqi*, Farooq Ahmad Lone and Javeed Iqbal Ahmad Bhat

Division of Environmental Sciences, Sheri-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Abstract

Keywords:Lemna mingrWastewater; Retention period

Introduction

Multiple environmental factors in association with anthropogenic activities have signi cantly altered our aquatic ecosystems. Over use of chemical fertilizers and intensi cation of industrial activities

for the removal of contaminants from the environment are cheaper than the conventional remediation technologies [1]. In recent years, there has been a growing struggle to provide e cient, inexpensive, and environmentally friendly options for the remediation of trace elements and other contaminants in waste water. Moreover, aquatic plants are of special interest unlike the terrestrial plants, because they are capable of bio concentrating many nutrients and heavy metals in large quantities [2-4]. In Kashmir valley Anchar lake is one of the lakes

*Corresponding author: Irfana Showqi, Division of Environmental Sciences, Sheri-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Jammu and Kashmir, India, Tel: +919796598837; E-mail: irfanashowqi@gmail.com

Received June 29, 2017; Accepted July 24, 2017; Published July 26, 2017

Citation: Showqi I, Lone FA, Bhat JIA (YDOXDWLRQ RI WKH Duckweed (Lemna minor L.) as a Phytoremediation Agent in Wastewater Treatment in Kashmir Himalayas. J Bioremediat Biodegrad 8: 405. doi: 10.4172/2155-6199.1000405


Copyright: © 2017 Showqi I, et al. This is an open-a ccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

reduction percentage of 85.0%, 95.0% and 90.0% respectively (Table 1). On the other side, these micronutrient (Cu, Zn and Ni) show high concentration in the plant body during the retention time with an increasing percentage of 34.69%, 69.44%, and 66.67% respectively the plant body (Table 2). Similar results have been observed in tap water that was used for comparison purposes where micronutrients zinc and copper had decreased in concentration a er Lemna mirras grown in it for 15 days with a reduction percentage 100% and subsequently in plant body these nutrients have shown increasing percentage of 2.22% and 0.71% respectively (Table 2) however nickel has shown no change as it was not observed in tap water during initial analysis. In the line of present study Wa a et al. [17] reported that duckweed treatment system reduced zinc by 93.6% and copper by 100% during eight days retention period. Zaltauskaite et al. [15] also found that Zn was most e ciently removed, depending on the initial Zn concentration Lemna minor removed between 42.3-77.8% of Zn. ey also found that a er the 7 days of e uents exposure temna minotreatment, the concentration nickel was reduced signi cantly.

e major cause of micronutrient reduction in waste water / tap water was utilization by the Lemna mimbant for body formation and development. Duckweeds require a number of macro and micronutrients for their normal growth. Nutrients are absorbed through all surfaces of the duckweed leaf. DWRP [18] reported that the highest growth rate for Lemnaceae under optimal laboratory conditions is about 0.66 generations per day that is equal to a doubling time of 16 hours. It has been reported that duckweeds generally double their mass in 16 hours to 2 days under optimal conditions which further helps in uptake of nutrients from the growing media for developing body tissue. As also reported by Korner and Vermaat [19] that the nutrients removed by duckweeds from growing media are mainly realized by newly grown tissue of the plants. Duckweed has a high mineral absorption capacity and can tolerate high organic loading as well as high concentrations of micronutrients.

Heavy metals (Cd, Cr and Pb)

e concentrations of heavy metals such as Cd, Cr and Pb reduced a er Lemna minorwas grown on wastewater for 15 days retention period. ese metals have reached their minimum concentration of 0.001, 0.001 and 0.01 mg respectively with a reduction percentage of 99.5%, 99.8% and 95.0% respectively, however in plant body their concentration has increased during the study period with an increasing percentage of 92.06% 43.59% and 0.52% respectively. In case of tap wa cadmium and lead were not observed during initial analysis however chromium had decreased in concentration from 0.20 ppm to 0.00 ppm 73 (, h)4 (o)16 (w)8 (e)-8 (v)84 Tw T* [(pcs)-8 (er)-29 (v)tio 327.4



Page 3 of 4

in plant body chromium have shown increasing percentage of 3.23%, whereas cadmium and lead have shown no change in their initial concentrations (Table 2). In concurrence with the present ndings, Wa a [17] studied that duckweed aquatic treatment system performed 100% lead and 66.7% of cadmium removal from wastewater a er 8 days treatment period. Similar results have also been found by Kara et al. [20] and concluded that duckweed Lemna mibotake up Pb, Cu, Fe, Cd and Ni from contaminated solutions. Removal of heavy metals from

- Keskinkan O, Goksu MZL, Basibuyuk M, Forster CF (2004) Heavy metal adsorption properties of a submerged aquatic plant (Ceratophyllum demersum). Bioresource Technology 92: 197-200.
- Vardanyan LG, Ingole B (2006) Studies on heavy metal accumulation in aquatic macrophytes from Sevan (Armenia) and Carambolim (India) systems. Environmental International 32: 208-218.
- Pratas J, Favas PJC, Paulo C, Rodrigues N, Prasad MNV (2012) Uranium accumulation by aquatic plants from uranium-contaminated water in Central Portugal. International Journal of Phytoremediation 14: 221-234.
- 5. 3 D U 16 3 H U N L Q V 5 * 0 D V R Q &) 5 H G X F W L R Q L Q S K R W R V \ Q W K H W L F H I ¿ F L H Q F \ of Cladophora glomerata, induced by overlying canopies of Lemna spp. Water Research 36: 1735-1742.
- 6. American Public Health Association (1992) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Washington, USA.
- 7. Strain HH, Cope BT, Walter AS (1971) Analytical procedures for the isolation, LGHQWL¿FDWLRQ HVWLPDWLRQ DQG LQYHVWLJDWLRQ RI WKH FKORURSK\OOV 0HWKRGV LQ Enzymology, Academic Press, New York, USA 23: 452-457.
- 8. Duxbury AC, Yentsch CS (1956) Plankton pigment monographs. Journal of Marine Research 15: 91-101.
- 9. Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F (1956) Colorimetric