

# Management of Adhesive Capsulitis in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department: A Comparative Study

Wassia Kessomtini<sup>F</sup>, Mouna Sghir<sup>FE</sup>, Mariem Rekik<sup>F</sup>, Aymen Haj Salah<sup>F</sup>, Rim Ben Khaled<sup>FE</sup> and Imen Ksibi<sup>G</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department, Taher Sfar University Hospital of Mahdia, Tunisia

<sup>2</sup>Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department, Military Hospital of Instruction of Tunis, Montfleury 1008 Tunis, Tunisia

<sup>E</sup>Corresponding author: T. Wassia Kessomtini, MD, Université de Sfax, Faculté de Médecine et de Pharmacie de Sfax, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia. E-mail: kessomtini.wassia@gmail.com

Received date: 15/01/2018 Accepted date: 15/01/2018 Published date: 15/01/2018

Copyright: © 2018 Kessomtini et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Abstract

**Objective:** To evaluate the efficacy of two different physical therapy regimens in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder.

**Methods:** A prospective study was conducted on 40 patients with adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder. They were randomly assigned to two groups: Group A received a passive stretching program (PSP) and Group B received a dynamic stretching program (DSP). Both programs included 10 sessions over 4 weeks. The PSP group received 10 sessions of passive stretching of the shoulder joint, while the DSP group received 10 sessions of dynamic stretching of the shoulder joint. The primary outcome measure was the Constant-Murley score, evaluated at baseline, after 4 weeks, and at 3 months follow-up. Secondary outcome measures included the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain and the modified Neer's test.

**Results:** At baseline, there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, sex, or duration of symptoms. The mean age was 45 years, and the mean duration of symptoms was 12 months. The Constant-Murley score improved significantly in both groups at 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up. The PSP group showed a greater improvement in the Constant-Murley score compared to the DSP group at 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up. The VAS for pain also improved significantly in both groups at 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up. The modified Neer's test improved significantly in both groups at 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up.

**Conclusion:** Both PSP and DSP are effective in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder. PSP appears to be more effective than DSP in terms of improving the Constant-Murley score at 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up.

**Keywords:** Shoulder, adhesive capsulitis, physical therapy, stretching, Constant-Murley score.

## Introduction

Adhesive capsulitis, also known as frozen shoulder, is a common condition characterized by progressive stiffness and pain in the shoulder joint. The exact cause of adhesive capsulitis is not fully understood, but it is believed to be related to a combination of factors, including age, gender, and underlying medical conditions. The disease typically follows a three-phase course: an initial phase of pain and stiffness, a middle phase of pain and stiffness, and a final phase of resolution of symptoms. Treatment of adhesive capsulitis can be challenging, and various modalities have been used, including physical therapy, corticosteroid injections, and surgery. In this study, we compare two different physical therapy regimens in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder.

The first group received a passive stretching program (PSP), which involved passive stretching of the shoulder joint. The second group received a dynamic stretching program (DSP), which involved active-assisted stretching of the shoulder joint. Both programs included 10 sessions over 4 weeks. The primary outcome measure was the Constant-Murley score, evaluated at baseline, after 4 weeks, and at 3 months follow-up. Secondary outcome measures included the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for pain and the modified Neer's test.

Methodology

Design

Setting

Participants

Interventions

Outcomes

Analysis

Statistical analysis

Sample size calculation

Randomization

Blinding

Intention-to-treat analysis

Adverse events

Other considerations

Publication and dissemination of results

fclHcf' W' cf' U [ 'Ybc\i a YfU' fshfcduhmlWgX cb' WbjW' UbX  
fUXc'c[ JW]bj Ygj[ Uhcbg"

5 ``dUfJWUbhg[ Y YcfU WbgYbhZf hYgi Xn

## Methods

K Y Wff]YX ci h u fYfcg]Ymj Y gi XnVlk Yb' kbi Ufm&SS) ' UbX  
8 YWA Yf' &9% 'jbW Xjb[ '%\* dUjYblgk Jh %+ 57G" Y fgi[ fci d  
fl %lk UgWa dgcXcZ- + 8A dUjYblgk Jh %\\$ ('57G" YgWbXcbY  
fl &jbW XX\*- dUjYblgX]U bcyXk Jh + jXcdlh JW7G"

DUjYblgk YfYUg YXUci h h Yf' cWdUjcbzXca ]bUbhUsa za YXW  
\\gcfm&8A \\gcfmWfcblWjhndYzUbXWa d]WjcbgjZU YMXfa z  
a YXW' hYua Yblz ha Y k \Yb' hYm gUfYX lc' Y dM]bW hY  
XgWa Zfhk \Yb a cj ]b[ hYfa zUbXWbg hJcb XYUh

5 gYga Yblg k YfY a UX Yh VgY]bY fMZe fY hY fgi hYua Ybh  
gYgcbLUbXU Y' a cbhgcZd\ngW hYdhr

: cf' UbYgb[ 'dUb Xf]b[ 'g ci 'Xf' 'c]bhia cj Ya Yblzg VWMgi gXU  
j lg U'UbUc[ 'gWYf]5CLOQ Q' YfY]U] ]hmZh ]g hghik Ug fYdcfHx  
h VYS- ( Zf' ]MUYUbXS'+%Zf' ]]MUYdUjYblgO\\$Q

-b' hY dfYgbh gi XnUdj Y UbX dUgj Y UX Wjcb' fjb' hY ZcbhU  
d'UbYUbXY hfbU fchJcb' fk Jh' hYfa 'Uh\\$ XfYg'cZUX WjcbL  
FCA' kUg a Yg fYX k Jh' U Wbj YbJcbU' [cb]ca Yhf' Ug dYf' hY  
[i ]XY]bYg[ ]j Yb' Vnh Y5a Yf]Wb' 5WXYa mcZCf hcdUWXW G f[ Ycgb  
O\\$Q ; cb]ca Yf]W a Yg fYa Yblg UFY \J\ m fY]WY dfcj ]XX  
a Yg fYa YblgfhYg[ fYg]fY]U] ]hm\\$- ( S-, LQ\\$%Q

< UbXWY ]bXVWfk 66fYWk Uga Yg fYX]b Wbha Yhfgi gbj  
]bW hYk Jh' g VWMgi ]b' hY g]bX]b[ dgcJcb' lc' Uggg]hY]bhfU  
fchJcb" Ynk YfY ]bgfi WIX lc' UW]Y YhY a U ja i a < 66' fYW  
dgcJcb' Vma cj ]b[ hYf'

Ü@["`â&#x2019;â&#x2019;&c1"]}	J <small>Ï</small> EHHÅHGG	J <small>Ï</small> EÌJÅHÅEÌI	PÙ
Ü@["`â&#x2019;ÖÜ	FHEÌIÅHÅFEGÌ	FHEÌHÅHÅEÌI	PÙ
PÓÓ	I <small>Ï</small> EÌTÅHÅEÌI	I <small>Ï</small> EFGÅHÅEÌGÌ	PÙ
Ô{ }•æ}å{ [ åå•æå•&[!^	HFEÌEÅHÅEÌG	GJÈÌTÅHÅEÌHH	PÙ
ÓTÅHÅ[ å^å { æ••å}å^çÅHÅUÅ{ [ }Å•i* )å&æ}çÅEEÅ{ ]ÅEEF			



**Citation:** S^•• [ { a} à YÉ Ü\* @ Á T É Ü^• A T É P æ Ü æ | a C E Á Ó ^ } à S @ æ | a Ü | a G E F J D A T æ } a \* ^ { ^ } c á [ - A C E Á @ ^ • i c ^ A O æ ] • ^ j c a • h a } à U @ ^ • i & a | A T ^ a & a } a

&" ; f][[g CA z 5\b' 5z; fYb' 5' fRSSL =>]cdUh]WUX Ygj Y Wdg ]Hg" 5  
dfcg]Ymj YZ bWcbU'ci HWa Ygi XncZbcbcdYUj YlfYUa Ybh" >6cbY  
xJbhG f[ ; &%-, !% S+"

&" 8]f\_g F@Z Chj Ybg A' fRSS(L; YbhY hUk ]b[ cZ Zcnb' g\ci Xf. U  
dfcg]Ymj Ygi XncZg dYfj lgXbY YMj Ygj g]bhbgj YdngW'h YUdm  
]b'gj Ybhbgj Yb'dUfbglgk ]b Zcnb' g\ci Xf g\baXca YZ`ck YXi dZf  
lkcm\Ug" >G\ci Xf 9'Vck G f] %. ('--!) S&

&" Dc`cW F; z 8i fUXY L5z: 'Uck' 9@Z 6][ ]Ub] \A . f%-(L Y i gY cZ  
UfhfcgWdmj]b' h Y hfYUa YbhicZfYgjUbhZcnb' g\ci Xf" 7]b' Cfhd cd  
FYUfYg' S(. " S! \*\*"

&" BJWc'g'b'fRSS L5fhlfcgWd]WWdg 'U'fYYgYZf'g] 'g\ci Xf gY YM  
cZHc'c[ mcb'ci HWa Yg'5fhlfcgWdm%. '(S(-"