

Journal of Child & Adolescent Behavior

Open Access

Abstract

Negative food parenting practices, such as putting pressure on children to eat and restricting their food, are linked to food insecurity and may encourage obesity in children. The connection between food insecurity and positive food parenting practices, such as introducing the child to new foods and involving the child in the cooking process, is less well undersood. In addition, very few sudies have examined the connections between child eating behaviors that have been linked to poor dietary outcomes and food insecurity. In a largely Hispanic, low-income sample of parents and their preschool-aged children (n = 66), we examined the relationships between food security satus, food parenting practices, and child eating behaviors using baseline data from a larger pilot intervention. Caregivers recruited from four urban communities in Rhode Island completed assessments of food parenting practices, household food security, and four child eating behaviors between July 2019 and 2020: food responsiveness,

*Corresponding author: Myla Chester, Psychology Department, University of Ghana, Legon/Methodist University College, Ghana Legon/Methodist University College, Ghana, E-mail: chestermyla@edu.gh

Received: 04-Feb-2023, Manuscript No: jcalb-23-88776; Editor assigned: 06-Feb-2023, Pre-QC No: jcalb-23-88776 (PQ); Reviewed: 20-Feb-2023, QC No: jcalb-23-88776; Revised: 21-Feb-2023, Manuscript No: jcalb-23-88776 (R); Published: 28- Feb-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2375-4494.1000493

Citation: Chester M (2023) Negative Food Parenting Practices and its Impact on Child Eating Behaviours. J Child Adolesc Behav 11: 493.

Copyright: © 2023 Chester M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

question a er each item to assess recent changes in parents' levels of parenting stress. is was done to determine whether parents' perceptions of parenting stress had changed, remained the same, or decreased since the introduction of stay-at-home mandates. ese additional questions wanted to know if the parent had answered "less, the same, or more than six weeks ago" to each item. Due to the survey's timing, "6 weeks ago" was the time before the United States' stay-athome laws went into e ect. On a three-point Likert scale, items were rated as follows: 1 (less), 0 (identical), and 1 (more) e sum of the item scores was used to calculate the overall change score, which could be anywhere from 18 to 18 Positive scores indicated that the parent's level of stress had increased over the previous six weeks, while negative scores indicated that the parent's level of stress had decreased. is subscale exhibited acceptable reliability (= 0.77) in the current study.

Parent feeding practices e Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire (CFPQ) was developed from the Child Feeding Questionnaire and Preschooler Feeding Questionnaire subscales to adequately measure a variety of parent feeding practices. According to Deater-Deckard (1998), the CFPQ consists of 12 subscales that describe parents' attitudes toward the health of their children as well as the methods used to shape their children's eating habits. Six out of the twelve subscales were used in this study. ese subscales were: use of food to control emotions (for example, " Is giving this child something to eat the rst thing you do when they become fussy? use of food as a reward (an illustration: As a reward for good behavior, I give my child sweets like cake, cookies, ice cream, and candy. putting weight restrictions on the child's diet (for example, " In order to prevent my child from becoming overweight, I encourage them to cut back on their food intake. putting pressure on the child to eat (for example: My child ought to always consume everything on his or her plate. Keeping an eve on the child's diet (an example: How much do you keep track of your child's consumption of potato chips as a snack? and promoting a well-balanced diet (an example: Do you encourage this child to consume healthful foods rst? On a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "never" to "always," each statement was evaluated. An overall score for each parent feeding practice was calculated by adding up the items on each subscale; Scores that were higher indicated a higher frequency of parent feeding. Subscales in previous studies with parents of children ages 2 to 8 demonstrated moderate to good reliability: use of food to control emotions (= 0.80), use of food as a reward (= 0.77), restricting a child's diet in order to lose weight (= 0.79), encouraging a child to eat (= 0.66), monitoring the child's diet (= 0.77), and promoting a healthy diet (= 0.71) (Al-Qerem et al., 2017). ese subscales also demonstrated moderate to good reliability in the current study: use of food to control emotions (= 0.69), use of food as a reward (= 0.77), restricting a child's diet to lose weight (= 0.76), encouraging a healthy diet (= 0.71), and pressing the child to eat (= 0.71) [6-10].

Concl sion

Child eating behaviors Wardle et al. developed the Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ) to nd out how parents feel about their kids' eating habits. e eight di erent dimensions of children's eating behaviors are the primary focus of the 35-item parent-reported questionnaire. e following subscales were utilized for the purposes of this study: food intolerance (for example, " My child initially rejects new foods"), emotional overeating (an example: When anxious, my child eats more"), emotional undereating (an example: When my child is upset, he or she eats less"), food responsiveness (an example: My child is always asking for food"), and the pleasure of eating (an example: My child looks forward to mealtimes"), eating slowly (for instance, " My child consumes slowly. On a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "never" to "always," each statement was evaluated. Subscales were found to have good internal validity and test-retest reliability in previous studies: Emotional overeating (= 0.72–0.79), emotional undereating (= 0.74-0.75), responsiveness to food (= 0.80-0.82), enjoyment of food (= 0.91), eating slowly (= 0.74-0.80), and fussiness (= 0.91). 2001). these subscales also demonstrated acceptable to good reliability in the current study: emotional overeating (= 0.77), emotional undereating (= 0.79), responsiveness to food (= 0.74), enjoyment of food (= 0.86), eating slowly (= 0.79), and fussiness (= 0.91).

References

- Xu H, Zhong L, Deng J (2020) High expression of ACE2 receptor of 2019 nCoV on the epithelial cells of oral mucosa. Int J Oral Sci 12: 8
- Mastrangelo A, Bonato M, Cinque P (2021) Smell and taste disorders in COVID-19: From pathogenesis to clinical features and outcomes. Neurosci Lett 748: 135- 694.
- El Kady DM, Gomaa EA, Abdella WS, Ashraf Hussien R, Abd ElAziz RH (2021) Oral manifestations of COVID-19 patients: An online survey of the Egyptian population. Clin Exp Dent Res 7: 852-860.
- Katz, J (2021) Prevalence of dry mouth in COVID-19 patients with and without Sicca syndrome in a large hospital center. Ir J Med Sci 190: 1639-1641.
- Najmuddin M, Almishy H, Alhazmi Z, Jundus A, Gharawi M (2021) Covid tongue: A new indicator of COVID-19 infection-A case report. Int Dent J Stud Res.
- Scotto G, Fazio V, Spirito F, Muzio E, Muzio L (2022) COVID Tongue: Suggestive hypothesis or clinical reality?. Oral Dis 28 Suppl 2: 2618-2619.
- 7. Hathway R (2021) COVID tongue. Br Dent J 230: 114.
- 8. Kardes E, Kardes S (2021) Google searches for bruxism, teeth grinding, and