

# 1BUJFOU 3BEJBUIPO %PTF "TTFTTNFOU JO 1FMV

Eric K Ofori<sup>1\*</sup>, William K Antwi<sup>1</sup>, Diane N Scutt<sup>2</sup> and Matt Ward<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiography, School of Allied Health Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Korle-Bu-Accra, Ghana

<sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Integrated Radiological Services Limited, Liverpool, United Kingdom

## Abstract

Protecting the gonads of children and adults is of particular importance during diagnostic imaging of the pelvis since evidence suggests that X-rays could cause direct damage to the gonad which could result in mutation. Gonad shielding during diagnostic X-ray procedures is an effective way of reducing dose to patients' reproductive organs and reduces the risk of genetic effects in future generations. Given the potential harmful effects associated with exposure to ionizing radiation, it is important not just to provide gonad shielding, but also to measure patient doses, and reduce them where possible. The aim of this study was to provide patient dose estimates for pelvic examination being undertaken at selected diagnostic centers in Ghana as a baseline data for pelvic dose optimization in Ghana. Dose measurements were calculated on 323 patients (137 (42%) male, 186 (58%) female, ages, 38.56 yr ± 9.0; range 20–68). The Entrance Surface Dose (ESD) was determined by an indirect method, using the patient's anatomical data and exposure by Integrated Radiological Services Ltd. in Liverpool, UK was used to generate the ESD values. There were variations in the technique factors used in all the centers as compared to the recommendations in the European Commission (& TXDOLW\FULWHULD (LJKW\SHUFHQW RI WKH KRVSLWDOV UHFRUGHG ORZHU reference levels (10 mGy) and 40% of the hospitals exceeded the UK national reference value (4 mGy). The variations in the data recorded demonstrate the importance of creating awareness by the radiographic staff on quality assurance and standardization of protocols to ensure satisfactory standards and optimized radiation dose to patients and staff.

**Keywords:** Gonadal dose; Patient dose assessment; Optimization reference levels [9]. is comparison was felt to be appropriate because at the time of the study, there were no accepted local or national diagnostic level values in Ghana for comparison.

**Introduction**  
Protecting the gonads of children and adults is of particular importance during diagnostic imaging of the pelvis since evidence suggests that X-rays could cause direct damage to the gonad which could result in mutation [1]. Gonad shielding during diagnostic X-ray procedures is an effective way of reducing dose to patients' reproductive organs and reduces the risk of genetic effects in future generations [2]. Given the potential harmful effects associated with exposure to ionizing radiation, it is important not just to provide gonad shielding, but also to measure patient doses, and reduce them where possible.

**Materials and methods**  
**Subjects**  
Patient Radiation dose assessment was conducted on 323 patients over 18 years, who underwent pelvic examinations during the study period. Inclusion criteria were patients over 18 years, who underwent pelvic examinations in ten selected diagnostic centers in Ghana from January to April, 2011. The pelvic examination was selected for this study because during this examination, critical organs (testes, ovaries) contribute to effective dose are irradiated. Data was collected on 323 patients who underwent Antero-Posterior (AP) pelvic examination in 10 selected hospitals. Ten radiographers and ten radiographic technicians participated in the study and completed the data collection. The ESD, in sheets after each examination. The data sheets required for the study were placed near the console of the X-ray room and were completed by the radiographers when a patient entered and required pelvic examination. The examination rooms were chosen for practical and logistical reasons, and were representative of the regional and district hospitals in Ghana. A tape measure of a least count of 0.1 cm was used.  
**Corresponding author:** Eric K Ofori, Department of Radiography, School of Radiography, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana. Email: efori@ug.edu.gh

The most reliable dosimetry quantities commonly used in diagnostic radiology to give an indication of the typical dose that is being delivered to an average adult patient are the patient Entrance Surface (skin) Dose (ESD) including backscatter for simple X-ray projections, and the Dose Area Product (DAP) for complex examinations [3,4]. The ESD, in sheets after each examination. The data sheets required for the study were placed near the console of the X-ray room and were completed by the radiographers when a patient entered and required pelvic examination. The examination rooms were chosen for practical and logistical reasons, and were representative of the regional and district hospitals in Ghana. A tape measure of a least count of 0.1 cm was used.  
Patient radiation protection in pelvis X-ray examination has not been given much attention in Ghana. Therefore this study was set out to provide an estimate of patient dose in pelvic examination being undertaken at selected diagnostic centers in Ghana as a baseline data for pelvic dose optimization in Ghana. The estimated mean ESD values were compared with the International Atomic Energy Agency [6], the European Commission (EC) guidance on diagnostic reference levels for medical exposures [8], and the 2005 United Kingdom reviewed

to measure the Focus-Film-Distances (FFDs). All FFD measurements were from the centre of the tube to the film or the table top.

#### X-ray equipment

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the X-ray machines in the 10 hospitals used for the study, all of which were constant potential generator (80 kVp) with 2.5 mm Al filtration. Two manufacturers' cassettes were in use during the study, namely Agfa and Kodak with two different screen-film combination speeds; 200 and 400. Since the study was aimed to provide patient dose estimates based on the patient's anatomical data and exposure parameters utilized for the specific examination, the



is study also revealed that there were inconsistencies in the use of the focus to skin distances as recommended in the EC quality criteria. The EC criteria recommend an average FFD of 115 cm and a range of 100–150 cm. Most diagnostic centers used FFD values below the average values (115 cm) but equal to the minimum recommended value (100 cm). Since ESD is inversely proportional to the square of the FFD, for the same kV and mAs the dose reaching the patient is expected to be high. Although the general trend across all centers is the use of lower FFDs and this, in part, might explain higher ESDs, it can be seen that the results do not show this as a universal trend (some centers with low FFDs present mean ESDs around 2 mGy, some much, much higher). It is worth noting that changing FFD could be a good change, but will still not solve all discrepancies found in the study. It is therefore essential that policies on quality control and assurance monitoring programs be enforced in the hospitals to protect the patient against unnecessary exposures through repeat examinations [16].

Generally, ESD values for the same type of examination in the same room will vary due to the differences in patient size and in the radiographic technique used by different radiographers. Variations in the ESD values between different X-ray rooms will additionally be due to differences in radiographic equipment, film type, processing, chemistry, and processing conditions. The mean ESD values for the individual examinations varied considerably across all hospitals and within hospitals. A particular hospital, H-7, recorded consistently higher ESDs than the other departments [17]. On closer investigation, it was revealed that the Automatic Exposure Control (AEC) device was consistently being incorrectly used or was frequently overridden by the radiographer for no apparent reason. Automatic exposure devices are intended to take some of the human error out of exposure factor selection, but overriding them has a detrimental effect on patient dose. This is a particular issue (of not using AEC where they were available)

9. +DUW ' +LOOLHU 0& :DOO %) 'RVHV WR SDWLHQWLVWLFUUDG6RDRLOFSDO DQGSWLPL]LQJ PHGL  
ÅXRURV;BBLR.PDJLQJ SURFHGXUHV LQ WKH 8. Uch from Biological Procedures, with special emphasis on paediatric imaging.  
&KLOWRQ 8. +HDOWK 3URWHFWLRQ \$JHQF\ 5DGLDWLRQ 3URWHFWLRQ 'LYLVLRQ
10. \*KDQD 6WDWLVWLFFHO \*66 1RFXFKL 0HPRULDO 15QVWLW KWH IRU3HSGDFULF UDGLBFWLRQOSURWHFWLR  
5HVHDFK 10,05 DQG 25& 0DFUR \*KDQD GHPRJUDSKLF DQG KHDOWK VXUYH\  
&DOYHUWRQ 0DU\ODQG \*66 10,05 DQG 25& 0DFUR @N °A Å € 05G@ «3LW3P 0 Å` 10 PpAt@ÅO LFLD
11. ,QVWLW&XWHFRM DQG (QJLQHHULQJ LQ 0HGLFLQH 5HFRPPHQGHG VWDQGDUGV  
IRU WKH URXWLQH SHUIRUPDQFH WHVWLQJ RI GLDJQRVWLF [ UD\ LPDJLQJ V\ VWHP ,3(0  
5HSRUW <RUN 8. ,3(0
12. -RKQVWRQ%U\$QQDQ 3& 5HIHUHQFH GRVH OHYHOV IRU SDWLHQWV  
XQGHUJRLQJ FRPPRQ GLDJQRVWLF ; UD\ H[DPLQDWLRQV LQ ,ULVK KRVS LWDOV %U -  
5DGLRO
13. :DO%) 'LDJQRVWLF UHIHUHQFH OHYHOV WKH ZD\ IRUZDUG %U - 5DGLRO  
785-788.