





**Citation:** Davis BJ, Leenstra JL, Wilson TM, Mynderse LA, Solhjem MC, et al. (2014) Prostate Volume in Patients Undergoing Primary Surgical or Radiotherapeutic Management of Localized Adenocarcinoma: Implications for Design of Minimally Invasive Imaging and Treatment Devices for the Prostate. *OMICS J Radiol S1*: 001. doi:10.4172/2167-7964.S1-001

## **Di c    ion**

Our study evaluated 700 patients who had localized prostate cancer. We performed detailed dimensional and volume measurements by direct RRP specimen observation, TRUS imaging, and CT in 3 separate non-overlapping cohorts. Even though the methods of measurement

SeDevices f89or t(rep55ducibleivea )veos lobtainasibyve lp55l(oluerhod. Chenvenati9 J0 )Tjati9 TeDev28.189

25. Berthelet E, Liu MC, Agranovich A, Patterson K, Currie T (2002) Computed tomography determination of prostate volume and maximum dimensions: a study of interobserver variability. *Radiother Oncol* 63: 37-40.
26. Roehrborn CG, Girman CJ, Rhodes T, Hanson KA, Collins GN, et al. (1997) Correlation between prostate size estimated by digital rectal examination and measured by transrectal ultrasound. *Urology* 49: 548-557.
27. Meigooni AS, Zhang H, Clark JR, Rachabattthula V, Koona RA (2004) Dosimetric characteristics of the new RadioCoil 103Pd wire line source for use in permanent brachytherapy implants. *Med Phys* 31: 3095-3105.