

# Revolutionizing Pollution Control with Sonoelectrochemistry: Advancements in Breaking Down Persistent Organic Pollutants

Anna Oglat\*

*Department of Medical Imaging, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, The Hashemite University, Jordan*

## **Abstract**

The electrochemical and ultrasonic inputs into a sonoelectrochemical pollutant degradation process are found to be synergistic, producing a faster rate of degradation than that produced by the sum of the purely electrochemical or purely sonochemical inputs on their own. The combination of electrochemistry and ultrasonic irradiation has gained increasing attention in recent years as a method for removing dissolved pollutants from water. This interest stems from the potential for sonoelectrochemical approaches to completely mineralise dissolved pollutants, converting them into harmless mineral species such as water and carbon dioxide. Persistent organic pollutants, often present in industrial wastewater, are perhaps of the most concern, and have been linked to a number of chronic and acute medical conditions, including cancers, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, suppression of the immune system, adverse effects on cognitive and neurobehavioral function, and disruption of the function of sex steroids and the thyroid gland.

(UV) light irradiation. The application of ultrasound waves in these systems enhances the dispersion of photocatalysts, promotes the formation of ROS, and facilitates the degradation of POPs [9,10].

### Benefits and Advantages

The utilization of sonoelectrochemical processes for the degradation of POPs offers several advantages over traditional methods:

**Enhanced degradation efficiency:** The synergistic effects of ultrasound waves and electrochemical reactions significantly enhance the degradation rates of persistent organic pollutants, ensuring more efficient removal.

**Selective degradation:** Sonoelectrochemical processes can be tailored to target specific POPs, allowing for selective degradation while minimizing the impact on non-targeted compounds.

**Reduced energy consumption:** The accelerated reaction rates and improved mass transfer achieved through sonoelectrochemistry reduce the energy requirements for pollutant removal, making the process more energy-efficient.

**Environmentally friendly:** Sonoelectrochemical processes operate under mild reaction conditions, minimizing the production of harmful by-products and reducing the environmental impact of pollutant remediation.

### Conclusion

Sonoelectrochemistry has emerged as a promising approach for the degradation of persistent organic pollutants, offering innovative solutions to transform pollution. The combination of ultrasound waves and electrochemical reactions enhances the degradation efficiency, selectivity, and environmental compatibility of pollutant removal processes. With continued research and development, sonoelectrochemical processes hold tremendous potential for addressing the persistent organic pollutant problem and ensuring a cleaner and healthier environment. Sonoelectrochemical oxidation for the

degradation of pollutants is a growing field which is garnering ever increasing interest. In this review, we have introduced not only the core concepts behind this technique, but also the key factors behind its effectiveness, such as electrode materials, reactor design, choice of electrolyte, and operational parameters. This may be abated to some extent by advancements in electrode technology, but in any case such issues will need to be thoroughly investigated and addressed before widespread application of this technique can be achieved. These obstacles will no doubt be focal points for future study, and we hope that this review will go some way towards inspiring further strides in this field in the coming years.

### References

1. Bulur I, Onder M (2017) Behçet disease: New aspects Clinics in dermatology. 35: 421-34.
2. Verity DH, Wallace GR, Vaughan RW, Stanford MR (2003) Behçet's disease: from Hippocrates to the third millennium. Br J Ophthalmol 87: 1175-83.
3. Hewitt J, Escande JP, Manesse S (1971) Revision of the diagnostic criteria of Behçet's syndrome. La Presse medicale 79: 901.
4. Mason RM, Barnes CG (1969) Behçet's syndrome with arthritis Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 28: 95-103.
5. (1990) Criteria for diagnosis of Behçet's disease International Study Group for Behçet's Disease. Lancet 335: 1078-80.
6. Mumcu G, Alibaz-Öner F, Öner SY, Özen G, Atagündüz P, et al. (2017) Oral ulcer activity in Behçet's disease: Poor medication adherence is an underestimated risk factor. Eur J Rheumatol 4: 109-12.
7. Yurdakul S, Mat C, Tüzün Y, Ozyazgan Y, Hamuryudan V, et al. (2001) A double-blind trial of colchicine in Behçet's syndrome. Arthritis and rheumatism 44: 2686-92.
8. Leung YY, Yao Hui LL, Kraus VB (2015) Colchicine--Update on mechanisms of action and therapeutic uses. Semin Arthritis Rheum 45: 341-50.
9. Gheita TA, El-Latif EA, El G, Samy N, Hammam N, et al. (2019) Behçet's disease in Egypt: a multicenter nationwide study on 1526 adult patients and review of the literature. Clinical rheumatology 38: 2565-75.
10. Rice SA, Woo PN, El-Omar E, Keenan RA, Ormerod AD (2013) Topical tacrolimus 0.1% ointment for treatment of cutaneous Crohn's Disease. BMC research notes 6: 19.