

# Taste Preference Evaluation: The First Step towards a Successful Weight Loss Strategy

Sung Eun Choi\*

Department of Family, Nutrition and Exercise Sciences, Queens College, The City University of New York (CUNY), USA

\*Corresponding author: [sc1003@qc.cuny.edu](mailto:sc1003@qc.cuny.edu) | Phone: +1 718 642 3000 | Fax: +1 718 642 3000

Received date: 15/08/2023 Accepted date: 22/08/2023 Published date: 29/08/2023

Copyright: © 2023 Sung Eun Choi, this is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Editorial

The famous phrase, “You are what you eat”, reminds us of the important impact of food choice on weight management. Eating and drinking based on food choice is a highly frequent human behavior that is affected by many factors [1]. Consumers report “taste” as one of the most influential factors affecting food choice [2,3]. Taste is the nutritional gatekeeper that determines which foods are chosen and which are rejected, and given the critical role of food intake in weight loss therapy, taste preference is an extremely important factor that needs to be considered to achieve long-term weight loss success. However, inattention to taste preference in weight loss therapy is common, possibly because of the complexity of the causal links between taste preference and food intake. Therefore, the factors that link taste preference and obesity are briefly reviewed in this article, but these factors are not independent of each other.

## Genetic predisposition

The ability to taste a low concentration of phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) or the safer, chemically related compound 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) has been linked to the taste receptor gene *TAS2R38*, which serves as a general phenotypic marker for the genetic variation in oral sensations and food preference [4-6]. Studies of human nutrition have revealed that PROP bitterness might serve as a general marker for sweet and fatty food preference. PROP tasters were found to experience greater sweetness and greater sensation of the rich-creamy texture of foods compared to PROP non-tasters [5-7]. Furthermore, the greater oral perception in PROP tasters was typically associated with a lower acceptance and selection of sweet and fatty foods [5,8,9]. These results led to the plausible hypothesis that genetic variation in taste sensitivity between PROP tasters and non-tasters drive their divergent preferences for consuming energy-dense food, which ultimately affects their body weight. However, studies of whether PROP non-tasters are heavier than PROP tasters have had conflicting results

## Cultural factors

Culture shared by the same race/ethnicity influences taste preference. Undoubtedly, the characteristic taste preferences of different race/ethnic groups may be one reason for the differences in the prevalence of obesity between such groups [10]. For example, individuals of African descent prefer higher levels of sweetness than those of European descent [11], and Pima Indians liked sweet and creamy solutions more than whites [12]. Their heightened taste preference for sweetness was associated with weight gain. Affordability, availability, familiarity, and perceived healthfulness of foods and ingredients and beliefs relating to normative and pragmatic

dietary rules affect racial/ethnic taste preferences [13], and of course, members of a racial/ethnic group share some biological predispositions, which interact with cultural factors due to their shared ancestry.

## Individual factors

There are many differences in taste preferences among members of the same culture. Taste preference varies according to a number of

5. Tepper BJ (2008) Nutritional implications of genetic taste variation: the role of PROP sensitivity and other taste phenotypes. *Annu Rev Nutr* 28:367-388.
6. Calò