

# Toxicology: The Basis for Development of Antidotes

Saganuwan AS\*

*Department Of Veterinary Physiology, Pharmacology and Biochemistry, University Of Agriculture, P. M. B. 2373, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria*

\*Corresponding author: Saganuwan A, Department Of Veterinary Physiology, Pharmacology and Biochemistry, College Of Veterinary Medicine, University Of Agriculture, Benue State, Nigeria, Tel: +2347039309400; E-mail: pharn\_saga2006@yahoo.com

Received date: Oct 6, 2015; Accepted date: Oct 10, 2015; Published date: Oct 13, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Saganuwan A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Editorial

Toxicology is the study of poison. Sources of poisons include animals, microorganisms, plants and chemicals. Although, Paracelsus who was a lecturer at the University of Basel, Switzerland in 1520s had hypothesized that the ability of an agent to cause poisoning is dependent on the dose of that agent. It was on this basis the median lethal dose ( $LD_{50}$ ) was introduced in 1920.  $LD_{50}$  is the dose which has proven to cause death to 50% of the test group of animals [1]. It is an initial assessment of toxic manifestations and is one of the initial screening experiments usually performed with carcinogenic, anti-carcinogenic, venomous, anti-venomous, toxicogenic, anti-toxicogenic, immunogenic and anti-immunogenic compounds. An antidote is any substance that counteracts a poison by, (a) chemically destroying the poison, (b) mechanically preventing absorption, or (c) physiologically opposing the effects of the poison in the body after absorption [2]. The data from median lethal estimation serve as the basis for classification and labelling, provide initial information on the mode of toxic substance, help arrive at a dose of a new compound and help determine  $LD_{50}$  values that may indicate potential types of drug activity [3]. The different methods used in determination of  $LD_{50}$  include Arithmetical method of Karber [4], Lorke method [5], arithmetical method of Reed and Muench [6], graphical method of Miller and Tainter [7], graphical method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon [8], revised up-and-down procedure [9], a modified arithmetical method of Reed and Muench [6] and arithmetic-geometric-harmonic method. All the methods employ summation of the doses of toxicant that caused death in the test group of animals.

However, for Reed and Muench method, the sum of cumulative dead and cumulative survived of each dose is taken. The percent survival to two doses adjacent to  $LD_{50}$  is calculated and the  $LD_{50}$  determined [10]. In another report,  $LD_{50}$  is calculated using the data on percent mortality instead of percent survival [11]. Having noted the marked difference between the estimated  $LD_{50}$  from percent survival and percent mortality using Reed and Muench method, Saganuwan [1] modified and validated the method using the average of median lethal dose ( $LD_{50}$ ) and median survival dose ( $MSD_{50}$ ) which gave a relatively ideal  $LD_{50}$ . The method was also validated by other authors with precision and accuracy. Kue et al. [12] used quick chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) as an alternative predictive model in acute toxicological studies for cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, vincristine, carmustin, camptothecin, aloin, mitomycin-C, actinomycin-D, melphalan and paclitaxel. The authors used the method of Reed and Muench modified by Saganuwan [1], and determined  $LD_{50}$  of all the anticancer agents and there was significant correlation between the ideal  $LD_{50}$  for the CAM and  $LD_{50}$  for mice. Signifying the versatility of the revised Reed and Muench method using CAM model as a replacement for toxicological studies in rodents.

World Health Organization (WHO) [13] has patented sodium silicate complex (SSC) which comprises trimeric sodium silicate ( $(Na_2SiO_3)_3$ )

8. Litchfield JT, Wilcoxon FA (1949) A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 96: 99-113
9. OECD (1987) OECD guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals Acute Oral Toxicity. Test Guideline (402). Acute Oral Toxicity. OECD, Paris, pp. 1-14
10. Sandhu HS (1999) Laboratory Manual on Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology (1st edn.) Kalyani publishers, Ludhiana, p. 313
11. Saganuwan SA, Ornyeyili PA, Etuk UE (2009) Acute toxicity and haematological studies of aqueous extract of *Abrus precatorius* leaf in *Mus musculus*. Abstract of African Education Initiative Conference 65
12. Kue CS, Tan KY, Lam ML, Lee HB (2015) Chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM): an alternative predictive model in acute toxicological studies for anticancer. *Exp Anim* 64: 128-138
13. WHO (2014) Method for treating venomous bites and stings. US pat. No. 6613326
- 14.