Introduction

Toxicology is the scienti c discipline concerned with the study of the adverse e ects of chemical, physical, or biological agents on living organisms. Exposure to toxic substances can lead to a wide range of health problems, including acute toxicity, carcinogenesis, neurotoxicity, and reproductive disorders. Biomarkers of toxicity, de ned as measurable indicators of biological processes, pathogenic processes, or responses to an exposure, have emerged as valuable tools for assessing toxicity, elucidating underlying mechanisms, and guiding risk assessment and management strategies [1-3].

Methodology

Types of biomarkers

Biomarkers of toxicity can be classi ed into various categories based on their nature, including biochemical, molecular, cellular, and imaging biomarkers. Biochemical biomarkers, such as enzyme activities, protein levels, and metabolite concentrations, provide direct measures of cellular or tissue damage resulting from toxic insult. Molecular biomarkers, including DNA adducts, gene expression pro les, and microRNAs, o er insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying toxicity and can serve as early indicators of adverse e ects. Cellular biomarkers, such as apoptosis markers and oxidative stress indicators, re ect changes at the cellular level in response to toxic insult. Imaging biomarkers, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) scans, enable non-invasive visualization and quanti cation of structural and functional changes in tissues or organs following exposure to toxicants [4-7].

Mechanisms of biomarker action

Biomarkers of toxicity operate through various mechanisms, re ecting the diverse pathways and processes involved in the response to toxic insult. Biochemical biomarkers may indicate disruption of cellular homeostasis, oxidative stress, in ammation, or speci c organ damage. Molecular biomarkers can provide insights into altered gene expression, epigenetic modi cations, DNA damage, or activation of signaling pathways associated with toxicity. Cellular biomarkers may re ect apoptosis, necrosis, autophagy, or other cellular responses to toxic insult. Imaging biomarkers o er visual representation of anatomical, physiological, or metabolic changes induced by toxicants in living organisms [8-10].

Applications of biomarkers

Biomarkers of toxicity nd broad applications across di erent

domains of toxicology, including environmental toxicology, occupational toxicology, clinical toxicology, and drug development. In environmental toxicology, biomarkers are used to assess the impact of pollutants on ecosystems and wildlife populations. In occupational toxicology, biomarkers help evaluate occupational exposures and assess workers' health risks. In clinical toxicology, biomarkers aid in the diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of toxicant-induced diseases or syndromes. In drug development, biomarkers serve as surrogate endpoints for evaluating drug safety and e cacy in preclinical and

enhancing the utility and reliability of biomarkers in toxicology.

References

- Matsuishi T, Nagano M, Araki Y, Tanaka Y, Iwasaki M, et al. (2008) Scale properties of the Japanese version of the Strengths and Difculties Questionnaire (SDQ): a study of infant and school children in community samples. Brain Dev 30: 410-415.
- Fulkerson JA, Story M, Mellin A, Lefert N, Neumark-Sztainer D, et al. (2006) Family dinner meal frequency and adolescent development: relationships with developmental assets and high-risk behaviors. J Adolesc Health 39: 337-345.
- Eisenberg ME, Olson RE, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Bearinger LH (2004) Correlations between family meals and psychosocial well-being among adolescents. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 158: 792-796.
- Sugiyama S, Okuda M, Sasaki S, Kunitsugu I, Hobara T (2012) Breakfast habits among adolescents and their association with daily energy and fsh, vegetable, and fruit intake: a community-based cross-sectional study. Environ Health Prev Med 17: 408-414.
- 5. Kusano-Tsunoh A, Nakatsuka H, Satoh H, Shimizu H, Sato S, et al. (2001)

Efects of family-togetherness on the food selection by primary and junior high school students: family-togetherness means better food. Tohoku J Exp Med 194: 121-127.

- Burgess-Champoux TL, Larson N, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M (2009) Are family meal patterns associated with overall diet quality during the transition from early to middle adolescence? J Nutr Educ Behav 41: 79-86.
- Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M (2007) Family meals during adolescence is associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. J Am Diet Assoc 107: 1502-1510.
- Fulkson JA, Kubik MY, Story M, Lytle L, Arcan C (2009) Are there nutritional and other benefits associated with family meals among at-risk youth? J Adolesc Health 45: 389-395.
- 9. Smith A (2013) Efects of chewing gum on stress and health: a replication and investigation of dose-response. Stress Health 29: 172-174.
- Sasaki-Otomaru A, Sakuma Y, Ohtake M, Kanoya Y, Sato C (2014) Efect of twenty-eight-day gum chewing on the levels of stress in elementary school children (in Japanese). JMA 23: 10-17.