

# Open Access Scientific Reports

Research Article

Open Access

**Keywords:** Azotobacter; Hybrid sunflower; PSB; Sulphur; VAM

## Introduction

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*.) is one of the most important

inoculation and levels of sulphur application are presented in table 2.

>4/S9(635)179(00)89(0046703480)5a9z(2)740212697(a)33623co12(nm250272(c)3652750e129S(an)9(4)M62380(b)658(b)g(e)80(295)

and Agarwal [13] in soybean, Ghosh et al. [14] in mustard, Ravi et al. [15] in sunflower, and Gangadhara et al. [16] in sunflower.

## Discussion

A wide range of bacteria such as Rhizobium, Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Pseudomonas, Bacillus, and Enterobacter have been used as biofertilizer because of their positive effects on growth and productivity of plants via several mechanisms including plant hormones production, N<sub>2</sub> fixation, antagonism against phytopathogenic microorganisms and solubilization of nutrients [17-20].

The higher grain yield due to biofertilizers inoculation might be due to increase in plant height and total chlorophyll content and yield component (thalamus diameter, weight of thalamus, filled seeds capitulum<sup>1</sup> and 100 seed weight, as well as seed and stalk yield, and oil content). The phosphate solubilizing bacteria is known produce vitamins and IAA and GA like growth substances [21].

Phosphorus (P) is an essential plant nutrient required for higher and sustained productivity of oil from sunflower. Its influence on seed yield, oil yield, and oil quality has been well established [22-26], and application of phosphorus has become an essential part of sunflower fertilizer program. In general, phosphorus is added to soil as inorganic phosphates, because the free inorganic P in soil solution plays a central role in P-cycling and plant nutrition [27]. However, a large portion of soluble inorganic phosphate applied to soil as chemical fertilizer is immobilized rapidly after application due to phosphate fixation by aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, and soil colloids [28], and becomes unavailable to plants [29]. Therefore, P is often a limiting nutrient in agricultural soils. Micro-organisms are also involved in a range of processes that affect the transformation of soil P, and thus an integral part of the soil P cycle [30]. In particular, P-solubilizing micro-organisms (bacteria or fungi) are able to solubilize unavailable soil P and increase the yield of crops [31]. Plant Growth-promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and rhizosphere bacteria are free-living soil organisms that can benefit plant growth by different mechanisms [19]. The P-solubilization ability of micro-organisms is considered to be one of the most important traits associated with plant P nutrition [30]. Several bacterial species, in association with plant rhizosphere, are capable of increasing availability of Phosphorus to plants, either by mineralization of organic phosphate, or by solubilization of inorganic phosphate by production of acids [28]. Phosphorus is commonly a limiting factor in sunflower growth and yield because P deficiencies reduce the accumulation of crop biomass [26]. This is attributable to (i) a reduction in the partitioning of assimilates to the formation of leaf area, or (ii) a

decrease of the efficiency with which the intercepted radiation is used for the production of above-ground biomass [32]. Rodriguez et al. [33] reported that under P deficiencies sunflower showed a reduction in the rate of leaf expansion, and in photosynthetic rate per unit of leaf area. However, P application produced greater and more consistent effects on crop performance as P fertilization allowed more efficient use of supplied N (soil+fertilizer). Loubser and Human [23] also noted that the response of seed and oil yield of sunflower was in agreement with the P absorption by the plants.

Inoculations of PSB which are known to produce growth hormones are likely to favour increased plant height. Inoculation with PSB+VAM+Azotobacter recorded higher chlorophyll content, which might be due to higher content of nitrogen and magnesium, which is a core component of chlorophyll [21]. The high response of plant to the PSB+VAM+Azotobacter inoculation might be due to mobilization of available P by the native soil microflora, or attributed due to increased PSB activity in the rhizosphere, following PSB+VAM+Azotobacter application, and consequently, by enhanced P solubilization. These reasons might have contributed towards its enhanced P uptake by the crops, an increase in thalamus diameter, weight of thalamus, filled seeds capitulum<sup>1</sup> and 100 seed weight, ultimately leads to higher seed yields. Stimulated photosynthetic activity and synthesis of protein due to sulphur application might have also contributed towards the improvement of better yield attributes.

Various nutrients and micronutrients are required for oilseed production, but the nutrient which plays a multiple role in providing nutrition to oilseed crops, particularly those belonging to the Cruciferae family is "Sulphur". Sulphur is the fourth most important nutrient after nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc for Indian agriculture [34]. Its role in balanced fertilization and consequently in crop production is being increasingly realized. Considering similar sulphur and phosphorus requirements of crops, sulphur can rightly be called as the fourth major nutrient in Indian agriculture. Sulphur is best known for its role in the synthesis of proteins, oils, vitamins, and flavoured compounds in plants. It is a constituent of three amino acids: Methionine (21% S), Cysteine (26% S) and Cystine (27% S), which are the building blocks of protein. About 90% of plant sulphur is present in these amino acids [35]. Sulphur is also involved in the formation of chlorophyll, glucosides



38. Marok AS, Dev G (1980) Phosphorus and Sulphur relationship in wheat  
(Triticum aestivum). Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 28: 184-188.

39. Mariswamy Gowda SM, Srikanth K, Badrinath MS, Sudhir K (2001) Evaluation  
R I V X O S K X U Q X W U L W L R Q R I V R \ E H D Q L Q D Q D O ¿ V  
cropping sequence. Mysore J Agril Sci 35: 145-50.