

Review Article

Open Acces

DSS

Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiovascular and NefroUrologic Disease of University of Palermo, Italy

Abstract

Background: The study evaluates the appropriateness of coronary angiography and the agreement between the used method and the presence of coronary artery disease by the indications proposed from American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (1999).

Open Access Scientific Reports

Method: The guidelines allow us to associate to Class I and IIa the judgment of appropriateness, to the Class IIb of uncertainty; to Class III of inappropriateness.

Result: On 761 coronary angiography 76.74% were appropriate, 23.13% unsuitable, 0.13% uncertain. The group with the greater value of appropriateness is that one with unstable angina (97.9% appropriate); that one with WKH ORZHU YDOXH LV WKH JURXS ZLWK QRQ VSHFL;F V\PSWRPDWRORJ\

Conclusion: Considering the false positives, it is important the rate of the greater sensibility and the lower VSHFL; FLW\ RI WKH QRW 0\$MJOJDBM "QQSPQSJBUFOFTT PG \$PSPOBSZ "OHJPHSB Sutera, Giovanna Evola, Salvatore Evola and Salvatore Novo

coronary syndromes; of CVG. Patients were divided into nine groups carefully following the Keywords: Atherosclerosis: Acute Percutaneous coronary intervention; Appropriateness of coronaryriteria proposed by the guidelines ACC/AHA for the indication for coronary angiography: angiography examination

Abbreviations: ACC/AHA: American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association; FP: False Positives; TP: True Positives; MI: Myocardical Infarction; PCI: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

t	4UBCMF BOHJOB "TZNQUPNBUJD
t	6OTUBCMF BOHJOB
t	1PTU JTDIFNJD SFWBTDVMBSJ[BUJPO
t	.* TUSBUJ DBUJPO QPTU .*
t	\$IFTU QBJO PG VOEFUFSNJOFE PSJHJ
t)FBSU GBJMVSF
t	7 B M W V M P Q B U I Z
t	/POTQFDJ D TZNQUPNT BTUIFOJB TZ
t	1SF TVSHFSZ GPS OPO DBSEJBD EJTF

Introduction

According to the de nition of Brook et al. [1], a procedure is considered "appropriate" if the expected bene t in terms of health is greater than complications it entails with a su ciently wide margin. However, the concept of appropriateness is useful if added to the one

of "necessity": the procedure must be done because otherwise the Analogous to the study of Rubboli et al. [14], the coronary patient could have a damage [2,3]. For this purpose, the method userdgiographies performed in patients whose clinical condition and the is that developed by the RAND Corporation in collaboration with the previously diagnostic process which resided in Class I, were considered University of California Los Angeles Health Service Utilization Studgppropriate; the same is for the Class IIa. Class III belongs to all those [4]. Another popular method consist of comparing the directions to conditions in which the indication for coronary angiography involves make angiography of the analized population with those described in ore risks than bene ts, for which, considered inappropriate

the guidelines, in general that of American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology and the European Society of Cardiology [5]. eResults

criteria did not show only temporal variability, but also geographical Patients were divided into nine groups and it was observed that [6-10], in fact, those adopted in the United States tended to be wider greatest numbers of coronary angiographies were performed in than in Europe and Canada [11-13]. To evaluate the appropriateness with MI, followed by patients with unstable angina and stable of a diagnostic examination, a diagnostic procedure to be applied angina/asymptomatic (Table 1). e 36.3% of all appropriate coronary all patients, should be established a priori, in order to submit a smaller number of patients at risk.

Methods

*Corresponding author: Giuliana Pace, Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiovascular and NefroUrologic Disease of University of Palermo, Via Del Vespro, 129, 90100 Palermo, Italy, Tel: 0916552986; Fax: 0916554301; E-mail: e purpose of this study is to evaluate the appropriateness of the juliana.pace@libero.it

coronary angiography in order to verify the correlation between the Received November 23, 2011; Published October 30, 2012 method used, the real presence of disease and its severity. To this end,

a retrospective analysis was performed. We considered 761 patientistion: Pace G, Caruso M, Sucato V, Tona GR, Quagliana A, et al. (2012) Clinical

of whom 558 men and 203 women aged between 31 and 92 years; VFLHQWL¿FUHSRL were analyzed: diagnosis of acceptance, the major cardiovascular fisk vright: © 2012 Pace G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under factors, noninvasive functional assessment (ergometric test, stress distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and echo, perfusion myocardial scintigraphy, and echocardiogram), reposturce are credited.

Citation: Pace G, Caruso M, Sucato V, Tona GR, Quagliana A, et al. (2012) Clinical Appropriateness of Coronary Angiography. 1:419. doi:10.4172/ V F L H Q W L #19U H S R U W V Citation: Pace G, Caruso M, Sucato V, Tona GR, Quagliana A, et al. (2012) Clinical Appropriateness of Coronary Angiography. 1:419. doi:10.4172/ V F L H Q W L #T9U H S R U W V

not wide enough, of non-invasive methods and in this case of ergometric test that most of our patients performed as non-invasive screening method; may also be the high sensitivity of a method such as myocardial scintigraphy, used in 24 of 114 patients FP (i.e. 21%), could identify a step ahead of the ischemic cascade, as well as the alteration of coronary microcirculation.

e third group consists of patients with inappropriate coronary angiography and coronaries free which are the true negatives, i.e. those