Open Access Scientific Reports

Research Article

Open Acces

Biology Department, UAE University, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates

Abstract

7KH FRPELQHG LPSHDQFUM/FRKIPBLO2W DQG GHIROLDWLRQ VWUHVV QHHGV IXUWKHU L JURZWK UHVSRQVHV RI SODQWV 8QIRUWXQDWHO\ IHZ VWXGLHV LQYHVWLJDWH HQYLURQPHQW \$GGLWLRQDOO\ D VPDOOHU QXPEHU RI WKHVHHQWWK7KGFLHHQWGHDOW WUHDWPHQWV ZHUH WHVHWQHUGEF100HF0DLQHGG2000\$%N3H2UQDW2RQUVH\$T/3kH2QWO\ LQ WKLV VWXG\ ZDV 3/Q0165 RXW KRZ FDQ DCeakchrusLcDDavisV U0HLWISHRQGV WR GHIROLDWLRQ VWUHVV XQGHU HO &2 DQG ZKHWKHHUOVHKEIW&L2RQ FDQ DOWHU JURZWK DOORFDWLRQ WR WKH GLIIHUH C. ciliaris WKDW ZHUH JURZQ XQDGQHGJ ZHHOUHY10GW1HRGO&2WUHIDGWA KDG ODUJHU OHDI DUHD WKD XQGHU WKH VDPH FRQFHVQWLWDDEWLQRQH 7KH G& 2WIKHDGWX FHHOGH WDXWDHOGGB19FRWR UR 12 109/KIFPDOQL 10,09/16/KG

Keywords:Defoliation stress; CoEnrichment; C. ciliaris

Introduction

Grazing-induced defoliation has caused serious challenges to natural and semi- natural grasslands worldwide. Especially with the anticipated increase in green-house gases such as carbon dioxide and the global impact on species growth. Simply because plants respond di erently when subjected to environmental stresses. Unfortunately, attention had been given to the change in the atmospheric @Ocentration and most of the published studies on plant response to elevated CO focus on response under environmental stresses such as drought, high soil salinity, nutrient limitations and high and low temperatures. Very few studies [1], however, assessed plant responses under defoliation conditions coupled with COenrichment. Additionally, a smaller number of these studies dealt with C4 non-crop species. Defoliation. de ned as the removal of photosynthetic organs of the plant [2] could be caused by many factors such as insect attack, wind or hail damage, or feeding by livestock, is to be studies in combination with the impact of CO₂ increase. e direct e ect of elevated CO₂ plants is mainly increasing its biomass [3] by increasing photosynthesis. e concern about defoliated plants' response to elevated comes from the fact that defoliated plants have reduced photo-synthetic organs. Defoliation stress caused an improvement in tree blade quality [4], and decrease in blade size and weight [5]. During defoliation stages, plants require remobilization of the stored and accumulated N and C in plant organs [3]. Defoliation stress gradually reduces N uptake and photosynthesis. is leads to plant growth being highly a ected by the extra COpply and plant storage status [2]. Elevated, 60/ve the ability to improve mineralization and plant uptake of N [4]. In addition, elevated CO increased the carbon content in the soil [1]. Soil carbon content may lead to increased concentration of the non structural carbohydrate in

CO₂, and whether the Coelevation can alter growth allocation to the di erent vegetative and reproductive parts.

crown and roots [2]. Photosynthetic processes are therefore a ected [6] which may impact the plant's regrowth a er defoliation events.^{*}Corresponding author: 7DRX;N 6DOHK .VLNVL %LRORJ\ 'H e combination of stresses such as defoliation with atmospheric)D[(PMDLVOLNVL#XDHX DF DH CO enrichment wills very likely lead to discret growth responses

CO₂ enrichment wills very likely lead to di erent growth responses as compared to one of the factors alone. is di erence in responses

may also be dependent on the photosynthetic pathway (i.e. C3 vs. Citation: .VLNVL 76 (O 6KDLJQentbaus ciliaris 5HVSRQGV WR & species). Elevated Copy itself stimulated the regrowth of C3 plants but inhibited that of C4 plants a er defoliation [2]. Consequently, in Copyright: .VLNVLF7067100V LV DQ RSHQ DFFHVV DUWLF this study the aim was to nd out how can a C4 grassClamechrus XVH GLVWULEXWLRQ DQG UHSURGXFWLRQ LQ DQ\PF ciliaris responds to defoliation stress under enriched atmospherit/RXUFH DUH FUHGLWHG

Page 2 of 4

e average number of dry blades under defoliation was highest for ACO_2 before the clipping treatment was applied (P 0. 05). No signi cant di erences were observed a er the clipping was performed at P>0.05 (Figure 4). ere was an increasing trend in the number of dry blades similarly for the three treatments (P>0.05). Defoliation, however, seemed to boost the overall average of dry blades for all three treatments. e average number of dry blades did exceed 15 blades for defoliated plants, while the highest average did not exceed 15 dry blades for non-defoliated plants.

Although defoliated plants in the three treatments started with the similar number of stomata, ACO

Page 3 of 4

All defoliated plants had similar chlorophyll/b pigment during the whole trial at P>0.05 (Figure 7). A Non-defoliated plant under ACO however, was lowest on 23 March and highest on 16 May (P 0.05).

Growth partitioning

Page 4 of 4

concluded that atmospheric C@levation can speed up plant growth and development by a ecting plant cells division and elongation [13]. e di erence in response between young and mature blades comes from the di erence in sugar content and hormone concentration, which reduces the stomata conductance under ECO. Chlorophyll/a and chlorophyll/b increased under ALCC condition. It is believed that the plants under ALCQmay have considered the alternating supply of CO₂ as an additional stress, which led to a di erent response by C. ciliaris. Defoliation stress seems to prevent the long term decline UHVSRQVH RI 7ULIROLXP UHSHQV / DQG /ROLXP S in plant pigment specially chlorophyll/a. Even with lower chlorophyll content, some plants had higher photosynthetic activities [14]. As expected, defoliation stress decreased the weight Cofcalliaris sheath even under elevated COFrequently defoliated plants under elevated CO₂ changed their growth partitioning. Under defoliation stress, plants adapted by altering the carbon allocation to non harvestable yield [5]. e inhibition for vegetative growth did not lead to the reduction of photosynthesis, but it is a consequence to the rapid conversion of photosynthetic to structural dry matter [2]. Most of the non-structural carbohydrates that are re-mobilized are used for root respiration a er defoliation [2]. e results of the present study showed that defoliation stress seems to ben t ciliaris by increasing the root system. Since plants lose their photosynthetic organs by defoliation, the regrowth a er defoliation depends on the remobilization of nitrogen and nonstructured minerals from the roots and crowns to the growing shoot [2]. Percent growth allocation was more pronounced under ACO than under the other two treatments. But for both defoliated and nondefoliated plants, most measured variables were a ected under all three treatments. Allocation to root growth, for instance, could have a bene t to the plant as roots are the main respiration organ that supports the remaining plant parts a er the loss of the main respiration organs by defoliation stress [3]. e results of this study suggest that the elevation of CO₂ bene ted some parts of ciliaris a er defoliation. Enrichment of atmospheric CQ did encourage a fast growth of green blades, especially biomass a er defoliation. is could be explained by the fast reallocation and compensation of C and N in the plant derived by the root meristematic activity [15]. ECOncreased the concentration of the non-soluble carbohydrates and carbohydrate remobilization in the plant [2], which is needed for plant regrowth. Soil moisture, salinity and carbon content were not a ected by the defoliation under the three CQ treatments (P>0.05). Soil pH, however, was highest for both defoliated and non-defoliated plants under ECO P=0.05. pH was not a ected by CO concentration in oak dominated soils [16]. Over all, when comparing defoliated and non-defoliated plants, under the same conditions of COconcentration, we found that the e ect of CO, enrichment was more pronounced on the non-defoliated plants. Controlled condition of stress positively improved the response in of plants biomass [9]. Defoliated plants under elevatedhad a positive e ect on the regrowth of ciliaris a er defoliation [2]. ere is a need for more studies to explore the e ect of defoliation stress on plants' interactions under natural conditions.

\$FNQRZOHGJPHQWV

7KH \$XWKRUV ZRXOG OLNH WR WKDQN (PLUDWHV)RXQGDWLRQ IRU SDUWLDOO\ IXQGLQJ WKLV ZRUN 3URMHFW 1R 7KH %LRORJ\ 'HSDUWPHQW DQG WKH)DFXOW\ RI 6FLHQFH DW WKH 8\$(8 LV LQGHEWHG IRU WKHLU VXSSRUW LQ FUHDWLQJ DQ HQYLURQPHQW WKDW HQFRXUDJHV UHVHDUFK ([WHQGHG WKDQNV DUH WR DOO FROOHDJXHV ZKR KHOSHG LQ FRQGXFWLQJ WKLV SURMHFW 7KH IRUPDWWLQJ RI WKLV GRFXPHQW WR PHHW WKLV MRXUQDO¶V UHTXLUHPHQWV E\ 0V 5DELD +DPHHG &KDXGKU\ LV PXFK DSSUHFLDWHG

References

'H *UDDII 0 9DQ *URHQLJHQ 6L[-+XQJDWH % 9DQ .HVVHO & QWHUDFWLRQV EHWZHHQ SODQW JURZWK DQG VRLO QXWULHQW F\FOLQJ XQGHU HOHYDWHG &2 D PHWD DQDO\VLV *OREDO &KDQJH %LRORJ\

tiller numbers and decreasing tillers weight and size [5]. Published data 7XRPL - 1LHPHO 3 + DXNLRMD (6LUQ 6 1HXYRQH DQ H[SODQDWLRQ IRU SODQW DQWL KHUELYRUH UH

(ULFH * ,ULJR\HQ -- 6DQFKH] 'LD] 0 \$YLFH -& GURXJKW HOHDMODOW MURPERSOLUTE WYYUU --HOHDYODGW M/OHP8S2HUDW XUH RQ DFFXPXODWL VWRUDJH SURWHLQV 963 LQ WDSURRW RI QRGXOI 30DQW 6FLHQFH

&RORP 05 9D]]DQD & 3KRWRV\QWKHVLV DQG 3 UHVLVWDQW DQG GURXJKW VHQVLWLYH ZHHSLQJ C ([SHULPHQWDO %RWDQ\

EL VSHFLHV PL[WXUHQWUR. FILIPHQDWLUD & C PDQDJHPHQW %LRORJ\

(FRORJLFDO LPSDFHWQVU BFFKOPWHPQRVW \$RKQHW) UQHU & HFRV\VWHPV 3KLORVRSKLFDO 7UDQVDFWLRQV RI W \$ 0DWKHPDWLFDO 3K\VLFDO DQG (QJLQHHULQJ 6F /DUVHQ 5(.UXHJHU :& *HRUJH 05 %DUULQJWRQ

9LHZSRLQW /LYHVWRFN,QÅXHQFHV RQ 5LSD /LWHUDWX;U0HR&EO†DV¥L • zì Â÷ 12(5"‡f