

Open Access Scientific Reports

Research Article Open Acces:

Keywords: Squamous-adenocarcinoma; Dyspnea; Chemotherapy; Chest pain; Dysphagia

Introduction

Carcinoma of the lung forms 5.6% of patients presenting with cancer at the Tata Memorial hospital in India and 65% of these have advanced stages of disease [1]. Seventy percent of the patients receiving radiation therapy have stage III or IV disease. Treatment with radiotherapy alone has an extremely poor outlook in patients with lung cancer, with only 5% of patients surviving for 5 years [2-4].

A number of randomized clinical trials have compared di erent fractionation schedules in the palliation of thoracic symptoms [5 -14]. Only one of these studies [9] compared single fraction radiotherapy of 10 Gy with two fractions of 8.5 Gy each one week apart. Five studies have compared two fractions of 8.5 Gy radiotherapy with more protracted schedules (30 Gy in 10 fractions or 27 Gy in 6 fractions [8], 36 or 39 Gy in 12 or 13 fractions [10] and 22.5 Gy in 5 fractions [11], 21.5 Gy in 5 fractions of 4.25 Gy given over 2 days [15] and 42 Gy in 15 fractions daily as well as 50 Gy in 25 fractions daily [16]. e most commonly used regimen in the USA for palliative treatment has been 30 Gy in 10 fractions within 2-3 weeks.

e e ectiveness of radiotherapy in palliating pulmonary symptoms due to non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) ranges from 50-90% [6-11,15,17-19]. In general, hemoptysis has the highest response rate (76-95%), followed by chest pain (50-80%), cough (50-65%) and dysphagia (37-60%). e optimal schedule for palliation of these symptoms has not been determined.

Citation:	Sharma V, Sanghavi V, Agarwal JP, Des	shpande R, Levin CV, et al. (2012)	Single Institution Prospective Rando	mized Trial of Radiation as

Parar	neters	Number	\HDU VXUYLYD	O Multivariate	
A ao aroun	99	37	11	0.03	
Age group	60	23	8	0.03	
KPS	50	22	4.5	0.00	
	60	38	10	0.09	
Fraction	1#	20	5		
	2#	20	0	0.01	
	5#	20	20		
Stage	III	24	8		
	IV	36	5	0.57	
Metastases	Present	30	13	0.00	
	absent	30	7	0.98	
Endo-bronchial lesion	Present	36	8		
	absent	24	8	0.84	
Sex	Male	53	5		
	female	7	0	0.08	
Histology	Squamous	25	12		
	Adeno	25	8	0.18	
	1RW VSHFL;H	G 10	0		

Table 5: Parameters versus survival.

Parameters	\U 6XUYLYDO			Univariate P value
Parameters	1 Fraction	2 Fractions	5 Fractions	
KPS				
50	0	0	12.5	0.73
60	9	0	25	0.09
Stage				
III	25	0	17	0.51
IV	0	0	25	0.11
Metastases				
Present	0	0	37.5	0.01
absent	14	0	8	0.29
Endo-bronchiallesion				
Present	0	0	8(13mths)	0.29
absent	0	0	14	0.07
Sex				
Male	5.5	0	23	0.07
female	0	0	0	0.82

Table 6: Prognostic factors versus fractionation.

fraction of 10 Gy provided relief in 87.5% patients as against 62.5% Most studies have reported relief of hemoptysis ranging from 60noted by two fractions of 8.5 Gy each one week apart. is contrast\$00% using di erent radiation regimens [5, 8,9,15,20,26]. In the present with Rees et al. [11] who concluded from their study that the palliation tudy, 83-86% of patients had relief of hemoptysis when treated with 3 dose schedules. Rees et al. [11] have reported a higher rate of relief of of cough with radiation was poor. hemoptysis in comparison to other symptoms.

fraction of 10 Gy in a study of 149 patients.

Relief in chest pain was reported by various groups [5,8,9,26,29,30]

Teo et al. [7] have noted signi cantly better palliation of 71% with 21.2 Gy in 4 fraction ranging from 44-83%. Seventy—ve percent of patients had pain relief. Teo et al. [7] have noted signi cantly better palliation of 71% with with ve fractions of 4 Gy each delivered daily in comparison to 64% Gy in 18 fractions as compared to 51% with 31.2 Gy in 4 fractions with ve fractions of 4 Gy each delivered daily in comparison to 64% of the fractions do comparison to 64% of the fractions of 8.5 Gy each one week apart in the present series. e relief was signi cantly higher for patients who had at least a with 20 Gy in 5 fractions in the palliation of thoracic symptoms from partial response but the addition of boost radiation in the present studying cancer. e fractionated radiotherapy group had a greater overall did not improve pain relief further as has been reported by Popoto et al. did not improve pain relief further as has been reported by Donato et amprovement in symptoms related to lung cancer (p=0.009) and pain [31]. e10 fraction schedule reported by Erridge et al. [21] resulted in (p=0.0008). ere was no di erence between symptom relief in the 3 signi cant reduction of chest pain (p=0.004) in comparison to a singlarms in our study and similar results have also been reported by three MRC trials [8-10].

Dyspnea improvement was noted in 30-97% patients depending e rates of palliation for hemoptysis, chest pain, cough and on di erent schedules [5,8,13,20,29,31]. In the present study, dyspnet/spnea reported from studies with short regimen (8.5 Gy x 2) are improved in 36-65% of patients. e 10 fraction schedule reported bycomparable to those of other trials that used more protracted palliative Erridge et al. [21] resulted in better palliation of dyspnea than singleeatment. e biological e ect of radiation on tumours is increased as the overall treatment is shortened [33]. fraction.

V F L H Q W Ĺ & SEU H S R U W V	Page 8 c
uncer treatment: impact on radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 47: 13-	r ago o c